# Inductive Cyclic Sharing Data Structures

# Makoto Hamana

Department of Computer Science, Gunma University, Japan

30th, June, 2008

http://www.cs.gunma-u.ac.jp/~hamana/

## This Work

- ▷ How to inductively capture cylces and sharing
- ▷ Intend to apply it to functional programming
- $\triangleright$  Strongly related to
  - Masahito Hasegawa,

Models of Sharing Graphs: A Categorical Semantics of let and letrec, PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1997.

### Introduction

- ▷ Term is a convenient and concise representation of tree structures in theoretical computer science and logics.
  - (i) Reasoning: structural induction
  - (ii) Functional programming: pattern matching, structural decomposition/composition
  - (iii) Representable by inductive datatypes
  - (iv) Initial algebra property
- In other areas: adjacency lists, adjacency matrices, pointer structures in C, etc. more complex, not intuitive, difficult to manage
- ⊳ But ...

▷ How about "tree-like" structures?



- ▷ How can we represent this data in functional programming?
- ▷ Give up to use pattern matching, composition, structural induction
- $\triangleright$  Not inductive

#### Introduction



Are really no inductive structures in tree-like structures?

▷ "Almost" a tree

### Graph-Theoretic Observation

 $\triangleright\,$  Instead, regard it as



Depth-First Search tree

- $\triangleright$  DFS tree consists of 3 kinds of edges:
  - (i) Tree edge
  - (ii) Back edge
  - (iii) Right-to-left cross edge
- ▷ Characterise pointers for back and cross edges

#### This Work

- Cyclic Data Structures
  - (i) Syntax:  $\mu$ -terms
  - (ii) Implementation: nested datatypes in Haskell
  - (iii) Semantics: domains and traced categories
  - (iv) Application: A syntax for Arrows with loops
- ▷ Cyclic Sharing Data Structures
  - (i) New pointer notation
  - (ii) Translation:  $\Rightarrow$  Equational term graphs  $\Rightarrow$  Cyclic sharing theories
  - (iii) Semantics: cartesian-center traced monoidal categories
  - (iv) Graph algorithms: SCC

# I. Cyclic Data Structures

#### Idea

- $\triangleright$  A syntax of fixpoint expressions by  $\mu$ -terms is widely used
- ▷ Consider the simplest case: cyclic lists



 $\triangleright$  This is representable by

 $\mu x.cons(5, cons(6, x))$ 

▷ But: not the unique representation

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu x.\mu y.\operatorname{cons}(5,\operatorname{cons}(6,x))\\ \mu x.\operatorname{cons}(5,\mu y.\operatorname{cons}(6,\mu z.x))\\ \mu x.\operatorname{cons}(5,\operatorname{cons}(6,\mu x.\operatorname{cons}(5,\operatorname{cons}(6,x))))\end{array}$ 

All are the same in the equational theory of  $\mu$ -terms.

▷ Thus: structural induction is not available

Idea

 $\triangleright \mu$ -term may have free variable considered as a dangling pointer

 $\mathsf{cons}(6,x)$ 



"incomplete" cyclic list

 $\triangleright$  To obtain the unique representation of cyclic and incomplete cyclic lists, always attach a  $\mu$ -binder in front of **cons**:

 $\mu x_1.cons(5, \mu x_2.cons(6, x_1))$ 

- ▷ seen as uniform addressing of cons-cells
- ▷ No axioms
- $\triangleright$  Inductive
- Initial algebra for abstract syntax with variable binding by Fiore, Plotkin and Turi [1999]

 $\triangleright$  Cyclic signature  $\Sigma$ 

nil<sup>(0)</sup>, 
$$\cos(m, -)^{(1)}$$
 for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$   
$$\frac{x, y \vdash x}{x \vdash \mu y. \cos(6, x)}$$
$$\vdash \mu x. \cos(5, \mu y. \cos(6, x))$$

▷ De Bruijn notation:

 $\vdash \mathsf{cons}(5,\mathsf{cons}(6,\uparrow \! 2))$ 

 $\triangleright$  Construction rules:

 $rac{1 \leq i \leq n}{n dash \uparrow i} \qquad rac{f^{(k)} \in \Sigma \quad n+1 dash t_1 \ \cdots \ n+1 dash t_k}{n dash f(t_1,\ldots,t_k)}$ 

- $\triangleright~\mathbb{F}$ : category of finite cardinals and all functions between them
- $\triangleright$  **Def.** A binding algebra is an algebra of signature functor on **Set**<sup> $\mathbb{F}$ </sup>
- $\triangleright$  **E.g.** the signature functor  $\Sigma : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{F}} \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{F}}$  for cyclic lists

$$\Sigma A = 1 + \mathbb{Z} imes A(-+1)$$

- $\triangleright$  The presheaf of variables: V(n) = n
- $\triangleright$  The initial V+ $\Sigma$ -algebra  $(C, \text{ in }: V + \Sigma C \rightarrow C)$

$$C(n)\cong n+1+\mathbb{Z} imes C(n+1)$$
 for each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ 

- Designable C(n): represents the set of all incomplete cyclic lists possibly containing free variables  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- $\triangleright$  C(0): represents the set of all complete (i.e. no dangling pointers) cyclic lists

Cyclic Lists as Initial Algebra

▷ Examples

 $egin{aligned} &\uparrow 2 \in C(2)\ & ext{cons}(6,\uparrow 2) \in C(1)\ & ext{cons}(5, ext{cons}(6,\uparrow 2)) \in C(0) \end{aligned}$ 

▷ Destructor:

tail :  $C(n) \rightarrow C(n+1)$ tail(cons(m, t)) = t

- ▷ Idioms in functional programming: map, fold
- ▷ How to follow a pointer: Huet's Zipper
- $\triangleright$  But: following a pointer  $\uparrow n$  needs <u>*n*-step</u> backward Zipper operations
- $\triangleright$  One of the benefits of pointer is efficiency
  - want: constant time dereference

▷ Diving into Haskell

> Implementation: Inductive datatype indexed by natural numbers

```
data Zero
data Incr n = One | S n
data CList n = Ptr n
| Nil
| Cons Int (CList (Incr n))
```

 $\triangleright$  cf.  $C(n)\cong n+1+\mathbb{Z} imes C(n+1)$ 

▷ Examples

S One:: CList (Incr (Incr Zero))Cons 6 (S One):: CList (Incr Zero)Cons 5 (Cons 6 (S One)):: CList Zero

# Cyclic Lists to Haskell's Internally Cyclic Lists

#### ▷ Translation

```
tra :: CList n \rightarrow [[Int]] \rightarrow [Int]tra Nilps = []tra (Cons a as) ps = let x = a : (tra <math>as (x : ps)) in xtra (Ptr i)ps = nth i ps
```

 $\triangleright$  The accumulating parameter ps keeps a newly introduced pointer x by let

▷ Example tra (Cons 5 (Cons 6 (Ptr (S One)))) []  $\Rightarrow$  5 : 6 : 5 : 6 : 5 : 6 : 5 : 6 : 5 : 6 : ...



- $\triangleright$  Makes a true cycle in the heap memory, due to graph reduction
- Constant time dereference
- ▷ Better: semantic explanation to more nicely understand tra

#### Domain-theoretic interpretation

- Semantics of cyclic structures has been traditionally given as their infinite expansion in a cpo
- ▷ Fits into nicely our algebraic setting
- ▷ Cppo⊥: cpos and strict continuous functions
   Cppo : cpos and continuous functions

#### Domain-theoretic interpretation

 $\,\vartriangleright\,$  Let  $\Sigma$  be the cyclic signature for lists

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{nil}}^{(0)}, \quad \operatorname{\mathsf{cons}}(m,-)^{(1)} \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

 $\vartriangleright$  The signature functor  $\Sigma_1: Cppo_\perp \to Cppo_\perp$  is defined by

$$\Sigma_1(X) = 1_ot \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{otot} \otimes X_ot$$

- Dash The initial  $\Sigma_1$ -algebra D is a cpo of all finite and infinite possibly partial lists
- $Descript{Define}$  a clone  $\langle D,D
  angle\in {f Set}^{\mathbb F}$  by

$$\langle D,D\rangle_n=[D^n,D]=\mathrm{Cppo}(D^n,D)$$

- $\triangleright$  The least fixpoint operator in **Cppo**:  $\operatorname{fix}(F) = igsqcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} F^i(ot)$
- arphi  $\langle D, D 
  angle$  can be a  $\mathbf{V} + \Sigma$ -algebra

$$\llbracket - 
rbracket : C \longrightarrow \langle D, D 
angle.$$

Domain-theoretic interpretation

 $\triangleright$  The unique homomorphism in  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{F}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \llbracket - \rrbracket : C &\longrightarrow \langle D, D \rangle \\ \llbracket \mathsf{nil} \rrbracket_n &= \lambda \Theta.\mathsf{nil} \\ \llbracket \mu x.\mathsf{cons}(m, t) \rrbracket_n &= \lambda \Theta.\mathsf{fix}(\lambda x.\mathsf{cons}^D(m, \llbracket t \rrbracket_{n+1}(\Theta, x))) \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket_n &= \lambda \Theta.\pi_x(\Theta) \end{split}$$

▷ Example of interpretation

 $\llbracket \mu x.\operatorname{cons}(5, \mu y.\operatorname{cons}(6, x)) \rrbracket_0(\epsilon) = \operatorname{fix}(\lambda x.\operatorname{cons}^D(5, \operatorname{fix}(\lambda y.\operatorname{cons}^D(6, \pi_x(x, y))))$  $= \operatorname{fix}(\lambda x.\operatorname{cons}^D(5, \operatorname{cons}^D(6, x)))$  $= \operatorname{cons}(5, \operatorname{cons}(6, \operatorname{cons}(5, \operatorname{cons}(6, x))))$ 

 $= cons(5, cons(6, cons(5, cons(6, \dots$ 

```
tra :: CList a \rightarrow [[Int]] \rightarrow [Int]

tra Nil ps = []

tra (Cons a as) ps = let x = a : (tra <math>as (x : ps)) in x

tra (Ptr i) ps = nth i ps
```

Interpretation in traced cartesian categories

 A more abstract semantics for cyclic structures in terms of traced symmetric monoidal categories [Hasegawa PhD thesis, 1997]

 $\triangleright$  Let  ${\cal C}$  be an arbitrary cartesian category having a trace operator Tr

 $\llbracket n \vdash i \rrbracket = \pi_i$  $\llbracket n \vdash \mu x.f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \rrbracket = Tr^D(\Delta \circ \llbracket f \rrbracket_{\Sigma} \circ \langle \llbracket n+1 \vdash t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket n+1 \vdash t_1 \rrbracket \rangle)$ 

▷ This categorical interpretation is the unique homomorphism

 $\llbracket - \rrbracket : C \longrightarrow \langle D, D \rangle$ 

to a V+ $\Sigma$ -algebra of clone  $\langle D,D
angle$  defined by  $\langle D,D
angle_n=\mathcal{C}(D^n,D)$ 

- ▷ Examples
  - (i) C = cpos and continuous functions
  - (ii) C = Freyd category generated by Haskell's Arrows

## Application: A New Syntax for Arrows

- Arrows [Hughes'00] are a programming concept in Haskell to make a program involving complex "wiring"-like data flows easier
- ▷ Example: a counter circuit



```
newtype SeqMap b c = SM (Seq b \rightarrow Seq c)
data Seq b = SCons b (Seq b)
```

## Application: A New Syntax for Arrows

▷ Paterson defined an Arrow with a loop operator called ArrowLoop

class Arrow \_A => ArrowLoop \_A where loop :: \_A (b,d) (c,d) -> \_A b c

▷ Arrow (or, Freyd category)

is a cartesian-center premonoidal category [Heunen, Jacobs, Hasuo'06]

▷ ArrowLoop

is a cartesian-center traced premonoidal category [Benton, Hyland'03]

- Cyclic sharing theory is interpreted
   in a cartesian-center traced monoidal category [Hasegawa'97]
- ▷ What happens when cyclic terms are interpreted as Arrows with loops?

#### Application: A New Syntax for Arrows

- ▷ Term syntax for ArrowLoop
- ▷ Example: a counter circuit



 $\triangleright$  Intended computation

#### $\mu x.Cond(reset, Const0, Delay0(Inc(x)))$

where reset is a free variable

▷ term :: Syntx (Incr Zero)

term = Cond(Ptr(S One),Const0,DelayO(Inc(Ptr(S(S One)))))

#### Translation from cyclic terms to Arrows with loops

tl	:: (Ctx n, Arr	owSigStr _A d) => Syntx n -> _A [d] d
tl	(Ptr i)	= arr (\xs -> nth i xs)
tl	(Const0)	= loop (arr dup <<< const0 <<< arr (\(xs,x)->()))
tl	(Inc t)	<pre>= loop (arr dup &lt;&lt;&lt; inc</pre>
tl	(Delay0 t)	= loop (arr dup <<< delay0 <<< tl t <<< arr supp)
tl	(Cond (s,t,u))	= loop (arr dup <<< cond <<< arr(\((x,y),z)->(x,y,z))
		<<< (tl s &&& tl t) &&& tl u <<< arr supp)

▷ This is the same as Hasegawa's interpretation of cyclic sharing structures

▷ Define an Arrow by term

term = Cond(Ptr(S One),Const0,DelayO(Inc(Ptr(S(S One)))))

counter' :: SeqMap Int Int
counter' = tl term <<< arr (\x->[x])

## Simulation of circuit

- ▷ Let test\_input be
  - (1) reset (by the signal 1),
  - (2) count +1 (by the signal 0),
  - (3) reset,
  - (4) count +1,
  - (5) count +1, ...

```
test_input = [1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1]
run1 = partRun counter test_input -- original
run2 = partRun counter' test_input -- cyclic term
```

#### In Haskell interpreter

```
> run1
[0,1,0,1,2,0,1,0]
```

> run2

[0,1,0,1,2,0,1,0]

#### Summary

- ▷ Inductive characterisation of cyclic sharing terms
- ▷ Semantics
- ▷ Implementations in Haskell
- Good connections between semantics and functional programming
  - (i) Cartesian-center traced monoidal categories [Hasegawa]
    - Cyclic Sharing Data Structures with constant time dereference
  - (ii) Monads [Moggi] ► Effects [Wadler]
  - (iii) Freyd categories [Power, Robinson] ► Arrows [Hughes]
- ▷ Cyclic Sharing Data Structures more challenging, more interesting
  - (i) New pointer notation
  - (ii) Translation:  $\Rightarrow$  Equational term graphs  $\Rightarrow$  Cyclic sharing theories
  - (iii) Semantics: cartesian-center traced monoidal categories
  - (iv) Graph algorithms: SCC

# II. Cyclic Sharing Data Structures

# Cyclic Sharing Data Structures

▷ Sharing via cross edge



- $\succ \operatorname{Term}_{\mu x.\operatorname{bin}(\mu y_1.\operatorname{bin}(\mu z.\operatorname{bin}(\uparrow x,\operatorname{lf}(6)),\swarrow 1\uparrow y_1),\operatorname{lf}(9)):\operatorname{B}(\operatorname{B}(\operatorname{B}(\operatorname{P},\operatorname{L}),\operatorname{P}),\operatorname{L})$
- $\triangleright$  New construct: pointer  $\swarrow p \uparrow x$  (p:position, in addition to  $\uparrow x$ )
- ▷ Inductive type indexed by shape trees
- ▷ Exactly implemented by GADT in Haskell

# Translation of Cyclic Sharing Terms

- $\triangleright$  Semantics
- ▷ To get constant time dereference
- ▷ Translations

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Cyclic Sharing} & \xrightarrow{\text{attpos}} & \text{Cyclic Sharing} & \xrightarrow{\text{tre}} & \text{ETG} & \xrightarrow{\text{trc}} & \text{CST} & \xrightarrow{\text{Has.}} (\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ 

- $\triangleright$  Cartesian-center traced symmetric monoidal category ( $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathsf{Hask}$ )
- $\triangleright$  Example of translation

 $\mu x.\operatorname{bin}(\mu y_1.\operatorname{bin}(\mu z.\operatorname{bin}(\uparrow x,\operatorname{lf}(6)),\swarrow 1\uparrow y_1),\operatorname{lf}(9))$ de Br.  $bin(bin(\uparrow 3, lf(6)), \swarrow 1\uparrow 1), lf(9))$ attpos ↦  $bin_{\epsilon}(bin_1(bin_{11}(\uparrow_{111}3, lf_112(6)), \swarrow 1\uparrow_{12}1), lf_2(9))$  $\{\epsilon \mid \epsilon = bin(1,2)$ 1 = bin(11, 12)11 = bin(111, 112)9 tre ⊢→ 12 = 11111 =  $\epsilon$ 112 = If(6)6 2 = If(9)trc ⊢→ letrec  $(\epsilon, 1, 11, 12, 111, 112, 2)$ = (bin(1,2), bin(1,12), bin(111,112), 11,  $\epsilon$ , lf(6), lf(9)) in  $\epsilon$  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta); (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathit{Tr}^{D^7}(\mathcal{F}\Delta_7; ( \ \ \llbracket \epsilon, 1, \ldots \vdash \mathsf{bin}(1,2) 
rbracket) \otimes$ Hasegawa  $\llbracket \epsilon, 1, \ldots \vdash \mathsf{bin}(11, 12) \rrbracket \otimes$ 29

 $; \mathcal{F}\Delta); \mathcal{F}\pi_1$ 

# Graph Algorithm: Strong Connected Components



# Graph Algorithm: Computing SCC

Strong Connected Components



- ▷ The number described in a node is a DFS number.
- $\triangleright$  The number labelled outside of a node is *lowlink*.
- $\,\triangleright\,$  A gray node is the root of a scc

#### SCC: Tarjan's Algorithm in Haskell

```
scc :: HTree -> [[Lab]]
scc t = sccs
 where (lowlink, node_stack, sccs) = visit t [] []
visit :: HTree -> [Lab] -> [[Lab]] -> (Lab,[Lab],[[Lab]])
visit (HLf i e) vs out
 = (i, vs, [i]:out)
visit (HBin i s1 s2) vs out
  = if lowlink == i
      then (lowlink, dropWhile (>=i) vs'',
                    takeWhile (>=i) vs'':out2)
      else (lowlink,
                                 vs'', out2)
  where (k1, vs', out1) = visit s1 (i:vs) out
        (k2, vs'',out2) = visit s2 vs' out1
       lowlink = minimum [k1, k2, i]
visit (HCross i t) vs out
 = if (notElem j vs)
      then ( i, vs, [i]:out)
      else (min i j, i:vs, out)
                               -- (*) dereference in O(1)
 where j = lab t
```

#### SCC: Tarjan's Algorithm – procedural implementation

```
Input: Graph G = (V, E), Start node v0
```

```
index = 0 // DFS node number counter
S = empty // An empty stack of nodes
tarjan(v0) // Start a DFS at the start node
```

```
procedure tarjan(v)
 v.index = index
                             // Set the depth index for v
 v.lowlink = index++
 S.push(v)
                         // Push v on the stack
 forall (v, v') in E do // Consider successors of v
   if (v'.index is undefined) // Was successor v' visited?
     tarjan(v') // Recurse
     v.lowlink = min(v.lowlink, v'.lowlink)
   elseif (v' in S) // Is v' on the stack?
     v.lowlink = min(v.lowlink, v'.index)
  if (v.lowlink == v.index) // Is v the root of an SCC?
   print "SCC:"
   repeat
     v' = S.pop
     print v'
   until (v' == v)
```